www.aujmsr.com

ScientificScholar®
Knowledge is power
Publisher of Scientific Journals

Adesh University Journal of Medical Sciences & Research

Article in Press

Review Article

Pals and enemies: Streptomycetes as promoters of plant illness and symbiosis

David Adeiza Zakari[1], Israel Abiodun Olorunmowaju[1], Nasir Opisa Audu[2], Oluwatunmise Israel Aiyedogbon[1], Josephine Onize Omaku[1], Daniel Ebamosi George[1]

[1]Department of Microbiology, Kogi State University, Anyigba, Kogi State, [2]Department of Microbiology, Federal University of Agriculture, Abeokuta, Ogun State, Nigeria.

***Corresponding author:**
David Adeiza Zakari,
Department of Microbiology,
Kogi State University, Anyigba,
Kogi State, Nigeria.

david.z@ksu.edu.ng

Received: 14 November 2022
Accepted: 13 May 2023
EPub Ahead of Print: 08 June 2023
Published:

DOI
10.25259/AUJMSR_79_2022

Quick Response Code:

ABSTRACT

The ecological functions of soil streptomycetes within the plant root surroundings are currently gaining multiplied interest. This assessment describes our recent advances in elucidating the complex interactions between streptomycetes, plant lifes, and pathogenic and symbiotic microorganisms. Streptomycetes play diverse roles in plant-related microbial groups. Some act as biocontrol tools, inhibiting plant interactions with pathogenic organisms. As a result of the adversarial properties of streptomycetes, they exert a selective strain on soil microbes, which won't constantly be for plant benefit. Others modulate the formation of symbioses among plant roots and microbes, and that is made possible due to their direct superb influence at the symbiotic association, expressed as, for example, enabling of hyphal elongation of symbiotic fungi. At present, streptomycetes have been diagnosed as modulators of plant defense using repressing plant responses to pathogens that they facilitate root colonization with pathogenic fungi. In contrast, other strains induce local and systemic resistance against pathogens or promote plant growth. In conclusion, while streptomycetes have an obvious ability of appearing as biocontrol agents, care has to be taken to avoid strains that become virulent pathogens or promote disease development. We argue toward using an included screening approach in the look for efficient biocontrol agents, consisting of assays on *in vitro* antagonism, plant growth, and disorder suppression.

Keywords: Streptomycetes, Biocontrol, Symbiotic association, Colonization, Hyphal elongation

INTRODUCTION

Streptomyces is the biggest genus of *Actinobacteria* and the sort genus of the family *Streptomycetaceae*. Over 500 species of *Streptomyces* micro-organism had been defined.[1] As with the other *Actinobacteria*, streptomycetes are Gram-positive, and have genomes with excessive GC content.[2] They are located predominantly in soil and decaying plant life, most streptomycetes produce spores, and are notable for their awesome "earthy" odor that comes from the production of a volatile metabolite, geosmin.

Streptomycetes form an imperative part of soil microbial communities making up about 10% of general soil microbial flowers.[3] These Gram-positive, filamentous *Actinobacteria,* are properly tailored to the soil surroundings and able to interrupt down complicated biological polymers, such as chitin or lignin.

Streptomycetes are notable antibiotic manufacturers, which may additionally assist them to protect their nutrient sources. Even though streptomycetes are traditionally considered as soil microorganism,

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-Share Alike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, transform, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as the author is credited and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.
©2023 Published by Scientific Scholar on behalf of Adesh University Journal of Medical Sciences & Research

several reports indicate that they are related to flowers and fungal hyphae. Bacterial filaments are capable of colonizing fungal hyphae and had been suggested from saprophytic, pathogenic and mycorrhizal fungi, as well as from areas filled with symbiotic fungal mycelia; the mycorrhizospheres of plants.[4,5] Some *Streptomyces* species are capable of entering the root tissues and establishing an endophytic way of life with flowers, or result in plant illnesses.[6,7] The importance of streptomycetes as promoters of plant sicknesses has targeted them in several great reviews.[8,9] Plant pathogenic streptomycetes these days had been the point of interest of an in depth evaluation,[10] as have been non-streptomycetes plant-related actinomycetes,[10] and *Frankia* and actinorhizal symbiosis.[11]

HISTORY OF *STREPTOMYCES* AND ANTIBIOTICS

Almost 200 years after Antony van Leeuwenhoek gave the first remark of bacteria in 1684 the usage of his own hand made microscope, other pioneers, together with Ferdinand Cohn and Robert Koch, based present-day standards approximately bacteriology as a technological know-how area. It took some other 200 years to pick out and attain the contemporary understanding of *Actinobacteria*. Similarly, 204 years after van Leeuwenhoek research, the primary description of a microorganism that finally has become known as an actinobacterium was described, while Hasani Armauer discovered a microorganism inside the tissues of leprosy patients, which was later described because the etiologic agent of this disorder in 1874.[12]

Rangarajan *et al.,* in 1984, reported the primary *Actinobacteria* species, in which he named Strepthrotrix foersteri. He isolated this microbe from samples of human tear ducts supplied using R. Foerster, a medical buddy. Cohn meant that Strepthrotrix foerster was not related to any sickness, but that it reached the patient's eye through airborne soil particles. Later, he discovered that Strepthrotrix foerster had morphological functions of fungi and microorganism.[12] However, the proposed nomenclature for the bacterial genus became deemed invalid because Strepthrotrix had already been categorized as a real fungus as reported by Patel in 1974.[13] Then, in 1974, Patel reported the etiologic agent of "lampy jaw." Harz located structures just like reproductive bodies and hyphae of fungi; therefore, he considered the microorganism to be a fungus and named it *Actinomyces bovis*.[12] In 1974, Patel reported another microorganism for the duration of his observations the usage of mild microscopy that this is now diagnosed as an actinobacterium: The tuberculosis pathogen *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*.[14] Patel, in 1974, reported that the microbes presented morphological traits that were just like the ones of microorganisms previously defined with the aid of Hansen related to leprosy sickness.[15]

Even though there was a clear relationship among those microorganisms, it became non-existent till 1916 that R. E. Buchanan suggested a nomenclature and class. Buchanan proposed the order Actinomycetales, containing the family *Actinomycetaceae* and the subsequent genera: *Actinobacillus*, *Leptotrichia*, *Actinomyces*, and *Nocardia*.[16] In 1943, Waksman and Henrici proposed a new type for the actinomycetes, which was based on their capability to shape branching cells. Waksman and Henrici observed that one actinomycete group shaped a condensed mat of interlinked branching hyphae that produced reproductive spores. The Streptothrix described using Cohn fell into this organization, but due to the invalid genus name, Waksman and Henrici named it *Streptomyces*, this means "twisted fungus."[17]

In the fourth decade of the 20th century, *Streptomyces* was diagnosed. Many studies were achieved during this time to find chemotherapeutic remedies to manipulate tuberculosis.[15] In 1943, Waksman once more obtained attention, this time due to his best discovery: The antibiotic streptomycin from *Streptomyces griseus* was effective against the tuberculosis pathogen.[18]

Approximately 600 validated species of *Streptomyces* have now been defined following their isolation from many environmental assets. They are now the topic of studies to discover new bioactive compounds for the pharmaceutical and agricultural industries.[19] The primary proposed nomenclature of *Actinobacteria* was primarily based on sporulation patterns. Despite the fact that morphological traits are generally critical for *Streptomyces* identification, a few researchers have established that classification based on cellular morphology, colony pigmentation, and physiological functions do not always mirror the herbal phylogenetic relationship between *Actinobacteria* and related organisms.[20] Creation of the polyphasic taxonomic approach combined molecular and biochemical analyses which elucidated streptomycetes systematics. In addition, improved availability of 16S rRNA collection facts has enabled correct studies of taxonomic affiliations and phylogenetic relationships.[13]

The taxonomy of streptomycetes

1. Domain: Prokaryota
2. Phylum: *Actinobacteria*
3. Class: Actinomycetes
4. Order: Streptomycetes
5. Family: *Streptomycetaceae*
6. Genus: *Streptomyces*

Streptomycetaceae is a circle of relatives within the order *Actinomycetales*, actinomycetes best recognized for their capacity to supply antibiotics and are Gram-positive bacteria which include a set of branching unicellular microorganisms, and they produce branching mycelium which may be of kind's, namely, substrate mycelium and aerial mycelium. Among Actinomycetales, the family Streptomycetes are the

dominant. The genus *Streptomyces* changed into proposed with the aid of Waksman and Henrici for cardio and spore forming actinomycetes. *Streptomyces* species are the biggest genus of actinomycetes determined predominately within the soil and in decaying vegetable, *Streptomyces* species produce spore and are noted for his or her distinct earthy odor that results from manufacturing of unstable metabolites.[19]

Soil offers a natural reservoir for microorganisms and their antimicrobial merchandise.[19] *Streptomyces* species are predominantly present within the soil and are broadly diagnosed as industrially crucial microorganisms due to their capability to provide many types of novel secondary metabolites along with antibiotics and enzymes. A huge range of *Streptomyces* spp. had been isolated and screened from the soil inside the past several a long time, accounting for 70–80% applicable secondary metabolites available commercially.[19]

MORPHOLOGICAL DIFFERENTIATION AND PHYSIOLOGY

Streptomycetes have a markedly extraordinary cell envelope shape than Gram-negative micro-organism, such that *Streptomyces* genus has been identified using cellular wall composition.[21] Similar to other actinobacteria, streptomycetes have not any outer membrane and their mobile partitions have a thick peptidoglycan (or murein) layer. The presence of LL-diaminopimelic within the mobile wall confers a normal chemotaxonomic feature to all members of the *Streptomyces* genus[22] and its presence collectively with glycine characterizes the cell wall as type I. Teichoic acids (anionic glycopolymers) include another crucial cellular wall factor that confers a negative rate to the mobile surface and contributes to physiological functioning and cell coaggregation.[23,24]

Streptomyces are filamentous Gram high-quality microorganism widely allotted in specific surroundings such as clean water, terrestrials, and marine surroundings. *Streptomyces* are aerobic, non-acid speedy and with high G-C content within the genomes, and normally spore forming and cited for their wonderful early odor. They produce layers of aerial hyphae that may differentiate them into a sequence spores.

Streptomyces is the biggest antibiotic generating genus producing antibacterial, antifungal, and antiparasitic drugs and additionally a wide variety of different bioactive compounds. Almost all of the bioactive compounds produced with the aid of *Streptomyces* are initiated at some stage in the time coinciding with aerial hyphal formation from the substrate mycelium.[19]

The most crucial traits of *Streptomyces* are their potential to supply secondary metabolite with antibacterial, antifungal, antiviral and anti-tumoral, and antiulcer activity.[25]

It generally plays physiological capabilities inside the organism. A number one metabolite is usually affords in lots of organism or cellular. Secondary metabolites are organic compounds that are not without delay concerned in regular growth, improvement, or duplicate of an organism.[19]

It seems in time of environmental troubles that nutrient depletion restricting growth situations allow formation of secondary metabolites.[10] It is been also discovered that distinctive organism can produce metabolite that has various biological capacity which consists of metal transporting retailers, sex hormones, pollution, pigments, pesticides, immunomodulating sellers, entagonist, and receptors antagonists. The intermediate products of primary metabolic pathways are acquired from their very own artificial pathways for the synthesis of secondary metabolites.[26]

LIFE CYCLE OF STREPTOMYCETES

The lifestyles cycle is initiated while favorable environmental situations and nutrient availability sell spore germination.[27] Next, germ tubes develop to shape syncytial vegetative or substrate mycelia, which include interconnected feeding hyphae which can be liable for nutrient uptake. While nutrients end up scarce, or some other pressure condition occurs, programmed cell dying of the substrate mycelia and cellular differentiation at the center of the colony bring about aerial hyphae.[23] These aerial hyphae are subtly distinguishable from the feeding hyphae, as they may be blanketed by a hydrophobic fibrous layer, possibly to help the aerial hyphae damage the floor tension on air pockets in the soil, while the feeding hyphae have a clean hydrophilic surface.[28]

The growth of *Streptomyces* involves hyphal tip extension and sub-apical branching.[29] In contrast to the procedure in rod-formed bacteria wherein cytokinesis is primarily based on building a cross wall by way of depositing murein into lateral walls, *Streptomyces* growth takes place by way of hyphae manufacturing on the cell pole. Even though it is not always really elucidated, this cellular growth sample is regulated using the apical protein complex DivIVA. In *Bacillus subtilis*, DivIVA interacts with the Min machine to coordinate division on the middle of the cell. In contrast, in *Streptomyces*, the Min system is absent, for this reason, DivIVA impacts division on the cellular tip. Another aspect of streptomycetes increase includes the conservation of two agencies of proteins, the tubulin homolog FtsZ and several membrane proteins, which can be both related to cytokinetic Z-ring and septal peptidoglycan.[27] The closing segment of the *Streptomyces* lifestyles cycle includes the apical cells of the aerial hyphae differentiating right into a spore chain. A differentiating apical compartment grows through tip extension and starts synchronous, multiple cellular divisions right into a developmentally managed form. Again, there is

the participation of FtsZ, which leads to sporulation septa and then those pre-spores bring together thick spore walls by way of depositing actin.[27] The scale of *Streptomyces* spores can variety from 0.7 to 1.2 μm.[30] These ultimate levels of the *Streptomyces* life cycle are intently related to antibiotic production.[12] All through programmed cell dying of the substrate mycelia, antibiotics are simultaneously produced, possibly to defend the nutrient sources against competitor microorganisms.[31]

PATHOGENIC ACTIVITY OF STREPTOMYCETES

Even though highly few in number, plant-pathogenic *Streptomyces* species honestly infect a wide variety of crop species. *Streptomyces* infection is limited to the underground plant elements, and necrosis is frequently the first symptom of these sicknesses. Systemic plant infection has never been stated, despite the fact that aerial quantities of plants may be stunted or wilted if root contamination is severe.

Most research on plant pathogenic *Streptomyces* species has centered on illnesses of potato. Sadly, the terminology used to explain these sicknesses has no longer been consistently applied. In this text, we are able to group potato tuber illnesses into the ones that are typified by means of decidedly erumpent (raised) or pitted scab signs and those which can be usually superficial. We consider that this distinction perhaps relevant to the mechanisms of pathogenicity employed by means of the causal organisms.

ERUMPENT POTATO SCAB ILLNESSES

At least three species of *Streptomyces* result in erumpent lesions on potato tubers. Two of those, *Streptomyces* scabies and *Streptomyces acidiscabies*, are properly referred to as potato pathogens. A 3rd species has currently been defined by way of researchers in Japan.[32] Although the identity of this species is not always but decided, it is miles without a doubt awesome from *S. scabies* and *S. acidiscabies* based totally on physiological, morphological, and genetic criteria. Extreme erumpent scab signs and symptoms are often followed with the aid of pitting of the tuber floor. Incredibly, virulent traces of *S. scabies* can produce pitted symptoms, and as we can discuss eventually, this symptom may be due to high ranges of thaxtomin production. In 1890, Thaxter[33] recognized the causal agent of commonplace scab and named it Oospora scabies. Pathogenic traces produced a soluble brown pigment (melanin) and gray spores borne in spiral chains. The sort subculture turned into no longer maintained, and the species became renamed *Actinomyces* scabies by Gussow[34] and then *S. scabies* by means of Waksman and Henrici.[17] Due to faulty designation of the sort stress that became used in a large taxonomic look at called the international *Streptomyces* undertaking (ISP), the species turned into considered invalid and changed into indexed as species incertae sedis ("kind stress not extant, many taxonomically special lines to be had"). The name call *S. scabies* became lately revived, and neotype strains were recognized based on research performed in Europe[35] and the USA.[36] Using both morphological and physiological characteristics, *S. scabies* forms a relatively homogenous group. The current species description is constant with that of Thaxter in that it is miles characterized through manufacturing of melanin and easy gray spores borne in spiral chains and with the aid of usage of the nine sugars used for characterization of *Streptomyces* species with the aid of the ISP. With the aid of default, maximum of the *S. scabies* strains which have been properly characterized are pathogens. However, now not all *S. scabies* traces are pathogenic, and this species seems to be intently associated with strictly saprophytic species.[37] Therefore, reading the population dynamics of pathogenic traces of this species is hard.

S. scabies is the maximum essential plant pathogen in the genus *Streptomyces* global. This pathogen can reason superficial, erumpent, or pitted lesion sorts, but erumpent lesions are maximum commonplace, and most lesions have a raised, rough, and corky appearance. *S. scabies* reasons scab of potato in many nations in Japanese and Western Europe, South Africa, Australia, New Zealand, and Israel, as well as within the America and Canada. This pathogen also incites scab of root crops which include radish, turnip, and carrot in a few countries.[38] Interestingly, *S. scabies* infects peanut, ensuing in a sickness referred to as "pod wart of peanut"[39] that is characterized by means of raised, necrotic lesions on peanut hulls in South Africa.[35] Other *Streptomyces* species may additionally purpose this sickness in Israel.[39] Due to its relative importance, almost all of the research carried out so far on the ecology and management of illnesses resulting from *Streptomyces* species has targeted on *S. scabies*. The pathogen may be soil- or tuber-borne and is ubiquitous in potato manufacturing soils. This species seems to be tailored to the nicely-drained, near-neutral-pH soils wherein potatoes and root crop greens are usually grown. The superior temperature for boom of *S. scabies* is 30°C, steady with observations of expanded potato scab severity at some stage in growing seasons with higher than normal temperatures. *S. scabies* is inhibited at excessive soil moisture, which has led to the use of irrigation for sickness manage.[40] Infection of potato tubers is usually through immature lenticels; consequently, tubers are maximum vulnerable to contamination all through the duration of speedy tuber growth that commences when the tuber diameter reaches twice that of the stolon and continues for approximately 6–8 weeks. By means of keeping high soil moisture at some stage in this period, effective ailment manage has been executed inside the United Kingdom. However, retaining excessive soil moisture for such prolonged

intervals of time is impractical for many potato growers in North the USA.

STREPTOMYCETES AND PLANT SYMBIOSIS FORMATION

Nitrogen-solving symbioses between flowers and bacteria can be both inhibited or promoted using *Actinobacteria*.[41] Gregor *et al.*[42] investigated the function of antibiotic resistance in *Streptomyces kanamyceticus–Bradyrhizobium japonicum* interplay. Nodule formation through wild-kind *B. japonicum* turned into strongly inhibited by way of *S. kanamyceticus*. To isolate antibiotic-resistant mutants of *B. japonicum*, antibiotic combos had been used. Three of the mutant traces of *B. japonicum* confirmed an exciting L phenotype: once they have been coinoculated with *S. kanamyceticus*, huge increases in nodule variety (as much as 55%), and shoot nitrogen composition occurred. This suggests that the production of antimicrobials with the aid of the streptomycete masked its capacity to facilitate nodulation. All through the interplay of roots of younger pea seedlings with *Streptomyces lydicus* WYEC108 and *Rhizobium* spp., multiplied numbers, size, and the interaction of the roots vigor of root nodules had been determined.[25] WYEC108 showed extra plant beneficial sports. The streptomycete suppressed root pathogenic fungi and promoted plant growth. Recently, Solans[43] defined a tremendous have an effect on with the aid of streptomycetes on root nodule formation by *Frankia* spp. in *Discaria trinervis*, indicating that the impact of *Streptomyces* spp. on nitrogen-solving symbioses may be enormous. On nitrogen fixing symbiosis may be widespread. The observation that vital pea nodules from agricultural fields housestreptomycetes filaments[25] emphasises the ecological relevance of these associations. Mycorrhiza, an intimate affiliation of plant roots and symbiotic fungi, is the dominating plant symbiosis in terrestrial ecosystems and the primary means for nutrient uptake for maximum land plants.[44] As mentioned with nitrogen-solving symbioses, actinomycetes may also both reduce or promote mycorrhiza formation.[45] Mycorrhiza formation is promoted by means of so-called "mycorrhization helper bacteria" including several actinomycete species inclusive of 001, (*Rhodococcus* spp.;[46] *Streptomyces* spp.). Inoculation with *Streptomyces coelicolor* 2389 notably elevated the intensity of mycorrhizal root colonization in sorghum. The authors determined that the occurrence of mycorrhizal colonization and the prevalence of arbuscules, the web sites for nutrient transfer, had been considerably higher in roots of plant life grown in soil inoculated with *S. coelicolor* in comparison with untreated mycorrhizal vegetation. Maier *et al.*[4] described *Streptomyces* sp. AcH 505 and *Streptomyces setonii* 1003, MHBs that substantially promoted.

Mycelial growth and mycorrhization rate of spruce (*Picea abies*) with *Aninata muscaria* (fly garlic) and pine (*Pinus sylvestris*) with *Suillus Bovinus* and enhanced branching of fine roots was concomitant with increased mycorriza formation.[46] Enhanced branching of fine roots become concomitant with expanded mycorrhiza formation, in addition growing the web sites for symbiosis established order. On the premise of these effects, a awesome ability exists for the use of specific streptomycete strains to enhance plant symbiosis improvement.

STREPTOMYCETES AS PLANT SYMBIONTS

Streptomyces spp. display lifestyles starting from benign saprophytes to beneficial plant endosymbionts to plant pathogens. They have a aggressive advantage over many different microorganisms in soil ecosystems, due to their filamentous and sporulating life-style, which lets in them to persist at some point of harsh environmental conditions. The filamentous way of life of streptomycetes additionally gives bacteria of this genus the capacity to colonize close by roots and subsequently without delay penetrate plant cells to advantage entry into the host, leading to endophytic and pathogenic phenotypes.[6]

PLANT ENDOPHYTIC STREPTOMYCETES

Endophytic *Actinobacteria* had been remoted from a extensive type of flora and the maximum often remoted species belong to the genera *Microbispora*, *Nocardia*, *Micromonospora,* and *Streptomyces*, the closing of that is the with the aid of some distance the maximum abundantly determined. Endophytic and plant pathogenic *Streptomyces* species have comparable existence cycles in that they each colonize plant roots and in the end invade the plant host. However, in contrast to pathogenic streptomycetes, endophytic species persist in the plant host for long durations of time without causing observable ailment signs and absence regarded virulence determinants common to phytopathogenic *Streptomyces* spp.[6] Endophytic *Streptomyces* bacteria are not actually plant commensals, however confer beneficial traits to their hosts that often fall into two classes: increase merchandising and safety from phytopathogens.

Endophytic streptomycetes may additionally beautify the boom of their plant host through the production of auxin that is a plant hormone crucial for root increase and improvement.[6] Auxin manufacturing is not always limited to endophytes, but is enormous in the genus *Streptomyces* and other soil microorganism and probable displays the fulfillment of those organisms in the rhizosphere. In addition to production of vital plant hormones, endophytic *Streptomyces* spp. are able to increasing nutrient assimilation through their plant host. As an instance, endophytic colonization of the pea plant *Pisum sativum* with the endophyte *S. lydicus* will increase the frequency of

root nodulation using *Rhizobium* spp., ensuing in extended iron and molybdenum assimilation and extra strong boom.[25]

It is far properly ordinary that participants of the genus *Streptomyces* are prolific producers of antimicrobial compounds, and endophytic streptomycetes are no exception. Several endophytic *Streptomyces* isolates inhibit the boom of fungal phytopathogens *in vitro* and in planta, and this antibiosis has been proposed to be one of the mechanisms by means of which endophytes suppress plant illnesses.[6] In addition to antibiosis as a method of resistance to pathogens, endophytic *Actinobacteria* set off plant defense pathways inside the model plant Arabidopsis thaliana; this systemic induction of plant defense pathways is thought to serve as a primer for defense and allows the plant host to respond more quickly to pathogen assault.

The houses of endophytic *Streptomyces* spp. make them attractive biocontrol sellers. Indeed, experiments both in the greenhouse and in experimental farm plots have established that endophytic streptomycetes increase the yield of wheat and decrease the incidence of ailment resulting from *Gaeumannomyces graminis*.[6] The documented agricultural usefulness of endophytic *Streptomyces* species will no question stimulate future studies to better apprehend the complicated relationship among those *Streptomyces* symbionts and their plant hosts. In an age where our destiny meals security is a critical issue, there is significant interest in know-how and selling the beneficial bacterial interactions within the plant rhizosphere to growth crop manufacturing and decrease the occurrence of disease.

Association of Streptomyces species with fungi

Fungi and streptomycetes are considerable and numerous inside the soil, but little is thought approximately particular symbiotic interactions. Because many *Streptomyces* spp. produce secondary metabolites with antifungal homes or secrete chitinolytic enzymes, they are usually considered antagonists of both plant pathogenic and saprophytic soil fungi, but a few cases had been defined wherein streptomycetes promote the growth of rhizosphere fungi, drastically the ones which can be worried in forming ectomycorrhizae with flowers.[4,46] Specifically, *Streptomyces* sp. AcH 505 produces the compound auxofuran, which promotes mycelial boom in the fly agaric (*A. muscaria*), an important mycorrhizal fungus of Norway spruce. Cocultivation of *A. muscaria* with *Streptomyces* AcH 505 inspired the manufacturing of auxofuran by means of the streptomycete at the same time as suppressing the biosynthesis of the antifungal compound WS-5995 B, a potent inhibitor of plant pathogenic fungi. In *A. muscaria*, on the other hand, the interplay with AcH 505 strongly influenced the increase sample, cytoskeleton shape, and gene expression ranges.[46] Numerous different streptomycetes isolated from Norway spruce also promoted the growth of the mycorrhizal fungus, however did now not have an effect on plant pathogenic fungi.[4] These examples illustrate that soil streptomycetes could have growth-promoting in addition to inhibiting outcomes on fungal increase, and it seems in all likelihood that many different interactions among streptomycetes and rhizosphere fungi have not begun to be exposed.

DISCOVERY OF *STREPTOMYCES* IN CONVENTIONAL MEDICATION AND CONCLUSION

Traditional medication containing antibiotics has been round and used in nearby treatments for millennia without understanding of its lively standards. One of the earliest connections among *Streptomyces* and traditional medication is the red Soil of Jordan, which has been used as a cure for pores and skin infections for millennia, extra definitive connections have been determined in Africa, where researchers determined that a few historic Nubian bones (~300AD) contained tetracycline. This changed into traced again to a local beer drunk through the Nubians containing oats that had *Streptomyces* developing on them[47] Following the United Kingdom scientific Act, conventional clinical practitioners who were now not formally identified had been prohibited from claiming to cure illnesses. This noticed traditional remedy in the UK fade into the heritage aside from in remote rural areas.[48] It'd then be some other 80 years before antibiotics made an legitimate appearance in clinical practice with the discovery of penicillin.[49] One of the inspirations for research into conventional medication may have come from Geoffrey Cordell, who devised a chain of systematic searches of plant metabolites for anticancer drug treatments. This protected an ethnomedical technique, which evaluated written or historical evidence from traditional medicinal exercise[50] considering that then, many *Streptomyces* have been isolated from conventional plant medicines, mainly in areas of low nutrient availability or intense physiological conditions.[51,52] These *Streptomyces* can exist as endophytes that live at least a part of their life cycle inner plant tissues without causing harm, or epiphytes that live at the outdoor of the plant life.[53] *Proteobacteria* and *Actinobacteria* had been reported as the most common endophytic species. Many research focused on the largest areas of conventional medicinal drug along with China and India; however, there have additionally been discoveries in South the USA and Africa.[54,55]

Authors contributions

The authors of this review are qualified for authorship and have checked this work for plagiarism. Zakari Adeiza David (ZAD) conceived and designed the study, conducted research, provided research materials, and collected and

organized data. Olorunmowaju Israel Abiodun (OAI) analyzed and interpreted data, manuscript editing, concept design, and reference validation. ZAD wrote initial and final draft of article and provided logistic support. All authors have critically reviewed and approved the final draft and are responsible for the content and similarity index of the manuscript.

Ethical approval

Ethical approval was not obtained as no patients or the likes were used for this study.

Declaration of patient consent

Patient's consent not required as there are no patients in this study.

Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Euzéby JP. Genus *Streptomyces*. List of Prokaryotic Names with Standing in Nomenclature; 2008. Available from: www.ncbi.nlm.nih,gov/pmc [Last accessed on 2008 Jul 09].
2. Madigan M, Martinko J, editors. Brock Biology of Microorganisms. 11th ed. New Jersey: Prentice Hall; 2005.
3. Janssen PH. Identifying the dominant soil bacterial taxa in libraries of 16S rRNA and 16S rRNA genes. Appl Environ Microbiol 2006;72:1719-28.
4. Maier A, Riedlinger J, Fiedler HP, Hampp R. Actinomycetales bacteria from a spruce stand: Characterization and effects on growth of root symbiotic, and plant parasitic soil fungi in dual culture. Mycol Progr 2004;3:129-36.
5. Poole EJ, Bending GD, Whipps JM, Read DJ. Bacteria associated with *Pinus sylvestris-Lactarius rufus* ectomycorrhizas and their effects on mycorrhiza formation *in vitro*. New Phytol 2001;151:743-51.
6. Coombs JT, Franco CM. Isolation and identification of *Actinobacteria* from surface-sterilized wheat roots. Appl Environ Microbiol 2003;69:5603-8.
7. Sardi P, Saracchi M, Quaroni S, Petrolini B, Borgonovi GE, Merli S. Isolation of endophytic *Streptomyces* strains from surface-sterilized roots. Appl Environ Microbiol 1992;58:2691-3.
8. Emmert EA, Handelsman J. Biocontrol of plant disease: A (Gram+) positive perspective. FEMS Microbiol Lett 1999;171:1-9.
9. Weller DM, Raaijmakers JM, Gardener BB, Thomashow LS. Microbial populations responsible for specific soil suppressiveness to plant pathogens. Annu Rev Phytopathol 2002;40:309-48.
10. El-Tarabily KA, Sivasithamparam K. Non-streptomycete actinomycetes as biocontrol agents of soil-borne fungal plant pathogens and as plant growth promoters. Soil Biol Biochem 2006;38:1505-20.
11. Sellstedt A, Normand P, Dawson J. Frankia-the friendly bacteria-infecting actinorhizal plants. Physiol Plant 2007;130:315-7.
12. Hopwood DA. *Streptomyces* in Nature and Medicine: The Antibiotic Makers. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2007.
13. Dworkin M. The Prokaryotes. Proteobacteria: Delta and Epsilon Subclasses. Deeply Rooting Bacteria. Vol. 7. Berlin: Springer Science and Business Media; 2006.
14. Cambau E, Drancourt M. Steps towards the discovery of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* by Robert Koch, 1882. Clin Microbiol Infect 2014;20:196-201.
15. Daniel OJ, Oladapo OT, Alausa OK. Default from tuberculosis treatment programme in Sagamu, Nigeria. Niger J Med 2006;15:63-7.
16. Buchanan RE. Life phases in a bacterial culture. J Infect Dis 1918;23:109-25.
17. Waksman SA, Henrici AT. Family II. *Actinomycetaceae* Buchanan and family *Streptomycetaceae* Wakesman and Henrici. In: Breed RS, Murray EG, Hitchens AP, editors. Bergey's Manual of Determinative Bacteriology. 6th ed. Baltimore: The Williams and Wilks Co.; 1948. p. 892-980.
18. Waksman SA. Streptomycin: Background, isolation, properties, and utilization. Science 1953;118:259-66.
19. Kämpfer P. The family *Streptomycetaceae*, Part I: Taxonomy. In: Dworkin M, Falkow S, Rosenberg E, Schleifer KH, Stackebrandt E, editors. The Prokaryotes. Berlin: Springer; 2006. p. 538-604.
20. Chandra G, Chater KF. Developmental biology of *Streptomyces* from the perspective of 100 actinobacterial genome sequences. FEMS Microbiol Rev 2014;38:345-79.
21. Schleifer KH, Kandler O. Peptidoglycan types of bacterial cell walls and their taxonomic implications. Bacteriol Rev 1972;36:407-77.
22. Tatar D, Veyisoglu A, Saygin H, Sahin N. *Streptomyces boncukensis* sp. nov., isolated from saltern soil. Arch Microbiol 2014;203:279-85.
23. Hasani A, Kariminik A, Issazadeh K. *Streptomycetes*: Characteristics and their antimicrobial activities. Int J Adv Biol Biomed Res 2014;2:63-75.
24. Lechevalier MP, Lechevalier H. Chemical composition as a criterion in the classification of aerobic actinomycetes. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 1970;20:435-43.
25. Davelos AL, Kinkel LL, Samac DA. Spatial variation in frequency and intensity of antibiotic interactions among *Streptomycetes* from prairie soil. Appl Environ Microbiol 2004;70:1051-8.
26. Acharyabhatta A, Kandula SK, Terli R. Taxonomy and polyphasic characterization of alkaline amylase producing marine actinomycete *Streptomyces rochei* BTSS 1001. Int J Microbiol 2013;2013:276921.
27. Flärdh K, Buttner MJ. *Streptomyces* morphogenetics: Dissecting differentiation in a filamentous bacterium. Nat Rev Microbiol 2009;7:36-49.
28. Petrus ML, Claessen D. Pivotal roles for *Streptomyces* cell

surface polymers in morphological differentiation, attachment and mycelial architecture. Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek 2014;106:127-39.
29. Hamid M. The use of morphological and cell wall chemical markers in the identification of *Streptomyces* species associated with Actinomycetoma. Afr J Clin Exp Microbiol 2013;14:45-50.
30. Pimentel-Elardo SM, Scheuermayer M, Kozytska S, Hentschel U. *Streptomyces axinellae* sp. nov., isolated from the Mediterranean sponge *Axinella polypoides* (*Porifera*). Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 2009;59:1433-7.
31. Rangarajan M, Ravindran AD, Hariharan K. Occurrence of a lysogenic *Streptomyces* sp. on the nodule surface of black gram (*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper). Appl Environ Microbiol 1984;48:232-3.
32. Takeuchi T, Sawada H, Tanaka F, Matsuda I. Phylogenetic analysis of *Streptomyces* spp. causing potato scab based on 16S rRNA sequences. Int J Syst Bacteriol 1996;46:476-9.
33. Thaxter R. The potato scab. Conn Agric Exp Sta Rep 1891;1890:81-95.
34. Gussow HT. The systematic position of the organism of the common potato scab. Science 1994;39:431-2.
35. DeKlerk A, McLeod A, Faurie R, Swart WJ, Denner FD. Identification and Toxin Production of *Streptomyces* Isolates Responsible for Common Scab in the Northern Cape Province of South Africa. Proceedin Europe Association Potato Research Triennial Conference. 13th ed. Veldhoven: The Netherlands; 1996.
36. Lambert DH, Loria R. *Streptomyces scabies* sp. nov. nom. rev. Int J Syst Bacteriol 1989;39:387-92.
37. Healy FG, Lambert DH. Relationships among *Streptomyces* spp. causing potato scab. Int J Syst Bacteriol 1991;41:479-82.
38. Hooker WJ. Compendium of Potato Diseases. St. Paul, MN: American Phytopathological Society; 2003. p. 33-4. Available from: http://ipm.cahnr,uconn.edu/common-scab
39. Kritzman G, Shani-Cahani A, Kirshner B, Riven Y, Bar Z, Katan J, *et al*. Pod wart disease of peanut. Phytoparasitica 2000;24:293-304.
40. Flärdh K, Leibovitz E, Buttner MJ, Chater KF. Generation of a non-sporulating strain of *Streptomyces* coelicolor A3 (2) by the manipulation of a developmentally controlled ftsZ promoter. Mol Microbiol 2000;38:737-49.
41. Patel JJ. Antagonism of actinomycetes against rhizobia. Plant Soil 1974;41:395-402.
42. Gregor AK, Klubek B, Varsa EC. Identification and use of *Actinomycetes* for enhanced nodulation of soybean co-inoculated with *Bradyrhizobium japonicum*. Can J Microbiol 2003;49:483-91.
43. Solans M. *Discaria trinervis*-Frankia symbiosis promotion by saprophytic actinomycetes. J Basic Microbiol 2007;47:243-50.
44. Smith SE, Read DJ. Mycorrhizal Symbiosis. 2nd ed. Cambridge, UK: Academic Press; 1997. p. 1-605.
45. Ames RN, Reid CP, Ingham ER. Rhizosphere bacterial population responses to root colonization by a vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus. New Phytol 1984;96:555-63.
46. Schrey SD, Schellhammer M, Ecke M, Hampp R, Tarkka MT. Mycorrhiza helper bacterium *Streptomyces* AcH 505 induces differential gene expression in the ectomycorrhizal fungus *Amanita muscaria*. New Phytol 2005;168:205-16.
47. Nelson ML, Dinardo A, Hochberg J, Armelagos GJ. Brief communication: Mass spectroscopic characterization of tetracycline in the skeletal remains of an ancient population from Sudanese Nubia 350-550 CE. Am J Phys Anthropol 2010;143:151-4.
48. Roberts MJ. The politics of professionalization: MPs, medical men, and the 1858 Medical Act. Med Hist 2009;53:37-56.
49. Fleming A. On the antibacterial action of cultures of a penicillium, with special reference to their use in the isolation of *B. influenzæ*. Br J Exp Pathol 1929;10:226-36.
50. Cordell GA, Farnsworth NR, Beecher CW, Soejarto DD, Kinghorn AD. Novel strategies for the discovery of plant-derived anticancer agents. In: Anticancer Drug Discovery and Development: Natural Products and New Molecular Models. Developments in Oncology. Boston, MA: Springer; 1994.
51. Wardecki T, Brotz E, De Ford C, von Loewenich FD, Rebets Y. Endophytic *Streptomyces* in the traditional medicinal plant *Arnica montana* L.: Secondary metabolites and biological activity. Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek 2015;108:391-402.
52. Oberhofer M, Hess J, Leutgeb M, Gössnitzer F, Rattei T. Exploring *Actinobacteria* associated with rhizosphere and endosphere of the native alpine medicinal plant *Leontopodium nivale* subspecies alpinum. Front Microbiol 2019;10:2531.
53. Nalini MS, Prakash HS. Diversity and bioprospecting of actinomycete endophytes from the medicinal plants. Lett Appl Microbiol 2017;64:261-70.
54. Colombo ME, Kunova A, Cortesi P, Saracchi M, Pasquali M. Critical assessment of *Streptomyces* spp. able to control toxigenic Fusaria in cereals: A literature and patent review. Int J Mol Sci 2019;20:6119.
55. Qin S, Li J, Chen HH, Zhao GZ, Zhu WY. Isolation, diversity, and antimicrobial activity of rare *Actinobacteria* from medicinal plants of tropical rain forests in Xishuangbanna, China. Appl Environ Microbiol 2009;75:6176-86.

How to cite this article: Zakari DA, Olorunmowaju IA, Audu NO, Aiyedogbon OI, Omaku JO, George DE. Pals and enemies: Streptomycetes as promoters of plant illness and symbiosis. Adesh Univ J Med Sci Res, doi 10.25259/AUJMSR_79_2022